L23 Conditional tests with one-sided H_a

- 1. Conditional tests with one-sided H_a .
 - (1) α -level UMP with one-sided H_a . Recall: if $\theta_1 < \theta_2 \Longrightarrow \frac{f(x;\theta_2)}{f(x;\theta_1)}$ is an increasing function of T(X), then $\begin{bmatrix}
 For H_0 : \theta \le \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_a : \theta > \theta_0 \\
 \phi(T) = \begin{cases}
 1 & T > c \\
 r & T = c \\
 0 & T < c
 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \\
 0 & T < c \\
 is \alpha \text{-level UMP}
 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix}
 For H_0 : \theta \ge \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_a : \theta < \theta_0 \\
 \phi(T) = \begin{cases}
 1 & T < c \\
 r & T = c \\
 0 & T > c
 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \text{is } \alpha \text{-level UMP}
 \end{bmatrix}$
 - (2) Nuisance parameter

 $f(x; \theta, \tau) = g_1(\theta, \tau)g_2(x) e^{r(\theta)t(x)} e^{\tau s(x)}$ where $r(\theta)$ is an increasing function of θ . Then T(X) is sufficient and complete for θ and S(X) is sufficient and complete for τ .

By 1-1 mapping
$$y = y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \\ y_* \end{pmatrix} \iff x = x(y)$$
 with $J(t, s, y_*) = \operatorname{abs} \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right|$,
 $f_Y(t, s, y_*; \theta, \tau) = g_1(\theta, \tau)g_2(t, s, y_*) e^{r(\theta)t} e^{\tau s} J(t, s, y_*)$. So
 $f_{(T,S)}(t, s; \theta, \tau) = g_1(\theta, \tau) e^{r(\theta)t} e^{\tau s} h(t, s), \quad f_T(t; \theta, \tau) = g_1(\theta, \tau) e^{r(\theta)t} h_t(t, \tau)$.
 $f_S(s; \theta, \tau) = g_1(\theta, \tau) e^{\tau s} h_s(s, \theta)$ and $f_{T|S}(t; \theta, s) = e^{r(\theta)t} \frac{h(t, s)}{h_s(s, \theta)}$.
Thus when $\theta_1 < \theta_2$,

$$\frac{f(X;\,\theta_2,\,\tau)}{f(X;\,\theta_1,\,\tau)} = \frac{g_1(\theta_2,\,\tau)}{g_1(\theta_1,\,\tau)} e^{[r(\theta_2) - r(\theta_1)]T(X)} = \frac{f_T(T;\,\theta_2,\,\tau)}{f_T(T;\,\theta_1,\,\tau)}$$

is an increasing function of T(X) for all τ ; and

$$\frac{f_{T|S}(t;\,\theta_2,\,s)}{f_{T|S}(t;\,\theta_1,s)} = e^{[r(\theta_2) - r(\theta_1)]T(X)} \frac{h_s(s\,\theta_1)}{h_s(s,\,\theta_2)}$$

is an increasing function of T(X) for all S.

(3) Conditional tests one-sided H_a . With $f(x; \theta, \tau)$ in (2)

```
For H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 versus H_a: \theta > \theta_0

\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 1 \quad T > c \\ r \quad T = c \quad \text{with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(T)|S] = \alpha \\ 0 \quad T < c \end{cases}
is conditional \alpha-level UMP

For H_0: \theta \geq \theta_0 versus H_a: \theta < \theta_0

\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 1 \quad T < c \\ r \quad T = c \quad \text{with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(T)|S] = \alpha \\ 0 \quad T > c \end{cases}
```

is conditional α -level UMP

2. Statistics other than ${\cal T}$

(1) U = U(T, S)

If U = U(T, S) is an increasing function of T for all S, then $\frac{f_{T|S}(t; \theta_2, s)}{f_{T|S}(t; \theta, s)}$ is an increasing function of T for all S. Thus

For $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ versus $H_a: \theta > \theta_0$
(1 U > c)
$\phi(U) = \begin{cases} \overline{1} & U > c \\ r & U = c \\ 0 & U < c \end{cases} \text{ with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(U) S] = \alpha$
0 U < c
is conditional α -level UMP
For $H_0: \theta \ge \theta_0$ versus $H_a: \theta < \theta_0$
$\int 1 U < c$
$\phi(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & U < c \\ r & U = c \\ 0 & U > c \end{cases} \text{ with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(U) S] = \alpha$
0 U > c
is conditional α -level UMP

(2) Selecting U = U(T, S)

Suppose the distribution of U selected in (1) is free of τ when $\theta = \theta_0$, i.e., U is ancillary for τ when $\theta = \theta_0$. But S is sufficient and complete for τ . By Basu theorem, U and S are independent when $\theta = \theta_0$. Hence the conditional distribution of U given S is the distribution of U when $\theta = \theta_0$. Thus the condition on the tests in (1) becomes $E_{\theta_0}[\phi(U)] = \alpha$. Clearly there is no conditional distribution involved and hence the tests are α -level UMP tests.

Ex1: For $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with $\theta = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}$ and $\tau = \sigma^2$,

$$H_0: \mu \leq 0 \text{ vs } H_a: \mu > 0 \iff H_0: \theta \leq 0 \text{ vs } H_a: \theta > 0.$$

Recall: $T = \sum X_i$ is sufficient and complete for θ and $S = \sum X_i^2$ is sufficient and complete for τ . Let $U = \frac{T/n}{\sqrt{(S-T^2/n)/n(n-1)}}$. Then U is an increasing function of T for all S, and

$$U \stackrel{\theta=0}{\sim} t(n-1)$$
. Hence in

$$\phi(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & U > c \\ 0 & U \le c \end{cases}$$

 $\alpha = E_{\theta=0}[\phi(U)] = P_{\theta=0}(U > c) = P(t(n-1) > c) \Longrightarrow c = t_{\alpha}(n-1).$ Therefore $\begin{array}{c} H_0: \ \mu \le 0 \text{ vs } H_a: \ \mu > 0 \\ \text{Test statistic: } U = \frac{\overline{X}}{\sqrt{s^2/n}} \\ \text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } U > t_{\alpha}(n-1) \end{array}$

is α -level conditional UMP test

L24 Conditional test with two-sided H_a

- 1. Conditional test with two-sided H_a
 - (1) α -level UMP with two-sided H_a Recall: Under $f(x; \theta) = \exp \left[p(\theta) + q(x) + r(\theta)T(x) \right]$ for

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
 versus $H_a: \theta \neq \theta_0$

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & T < c_1 \text{ or } T > c_2 \\ r_i & T = c_i, i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & c_1 < T < c_2 \end{cases} \text{ with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(T)] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[T\phi(T)] = \alpha E_{\theta_0}(T)$$

is α -level UMP.

(2) Nuisance parameter

Now assume $f(x; \theta, \tau) = \exp \left[p(\theta, \tau) + q(x) + r(\theta)T(x) + h(\tau)S(x)\right]$. Rewrite $f(x; \theta, \tau) = \exp \left[p(\theta, \tau) + q_*(\tau, x) + r(\theta)T(x)\right]$ and regard θ as parameter of interest only. By (1) for the H_0 and H_a there is

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & T < c_1 \text{ or } T > c_2 \\ r_i & T = c_i, i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & c_1 < T < c_2 \end{cases} \text{ with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(T)] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[T\phi(T)] = \alpha E_{\theta_0}(T)$$

However $E_{\theta_0}[\phi(T)]$, $E_{\theta_0}[T\phi(T)]$ and $E_{\theta_0}(T)$ depend on nuisance parameter τ that needs to be "removed".

(3) Conditional test

Replace the distribution of T under $\theta = \theta_0$ by the conditional distribution of T given S under $\theta = \theta_0$. Because S is sufficient for τ , the nuisance parameter is successfully "removed". We therefore obtain conditional α -level UMP.

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & T < c_1 \text{ or } T > c_2 \\ r_i & T = c_i, i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & c_1 < T < c_2 \end{cases} \text{ with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(T)|S] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[T\phi(T)|S] = \alpha E_{\theta_0}(T|S)$$

2. Simplification

(1) Using U = U(T, S)

If U = U(T, S) is an increasing function of T for all S, then there exist $c_1(S)$ and $c_2(S)$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T < c_1 \text{ or } T > c_2 \\ T = c_i, \, i = 1, \, 2 \\ c_1 < T < c_2 \end{array} \right. \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U < c_1(S) \text{ or } U > c_2(S) \\ U = c_i(S), \, i = 1, \, 2 \\ c_1(S) < U < c_2(S) \end{array} \right.$$

So the conditional test can be written as

$$\phi(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & U < c_1(S) \text{ or } U > c_2(S) \\ r_i & U = c_i(S), i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & c_1(S) < U < c_2(S) \end{cases}$$

with $E_{\theta_0}[\phi(U)|S] = \alpha$ and $E_{\theta_0}[U\phi(U)|S] = \alpha E_{\theta_0}(U|S)$

Comments: Clearly we now have many options when selecting U.

In $\phi(U)$, $c_i(S)$ can still be written as c_i since when determining c_i by $E_{\theta_0}[\phi(U)|S] = \alpha$ and $E_{\theta_0}[U\phi(U)|S] = \alpha E_{\theta_0}(U|S)$, c_i will be $c_i(S)$.

(2) A special U

If the distribution of U under $\theta = \theta_0$ is free of τ , then U is ancillary for τ under $\theta = \theta_0$. But S is sufficient and complete for τ . By Basu Theorem, the distributions of U and S under $\theta = \theta_0$ are independent. Hence the conditional distribution of U given S is the distribution of U when $\theta = \theta_0$. Thus the test becomes

$$\phi(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & U < c_1 \text{ or } U > c_2 \\ r_i & U = c_i, i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & c_1 < U < c_2 \end{cases} \text{ with } E_{\theta_0}[\phi(U)] = \alpha \text{ and } E_{\theta_0}[U\phi(U)] = \alpha E_{\theta_0}(U).$$

There is no conditional distribution involved and hence $\phi(U)$ is an α -level UMP.

- 3. An example
 - (1) The problem Test $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$ where μ is in $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.
 - (2) Analysis Let $\theta = \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sigma^2}$ and $\tau = \sigma^2$. Then the hypotheses become

$$H_0: \theta = 0$$
 versus $H_a: \theta \neq 0$

Let $T = \sum (X_i - \mu_0)$ and $S = \sum (X_i - \mu_0)^2$. Then $\begin{bmatrix} n & n \\ n & n \end{bmatrix}$

$$f(x; \theta, \tau) = \exp\left[-\frac{n}{2}\ln(2\pi\tau) - \frac{n}{2}\theta^2\tau - \theta T - \frac{1}{2\tau}S\right].$$

Let $U = \frac{T/n}{\sqrt{(S-T^2/n)/n(n-1)}}$. Then U is an increasing function of T for all S.

But
$$U = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{s_x^2/n}} \stackrel{\theta=0}{\sim} t(n-1)$$
. So $\phi(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & U < c_1 \text{ or } U > c_2 \\ 0 & c_1 < U < c_2 \end{cases}$
Note that $E_{\theta=0}[U\phi(U)] = \alpha E_{\theta=0}(U) = 0 \Longrightarrow c_1 = -c_2 \stackrel{def}{=} c$.
So $\alpha = E_{\theta=0}[U\phi(U)] \Longrightarrow c = t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)$.

(3) Conclusion

$$\begin{array}{l} H_0: \ \mu = \mu_0 \text{ versus } H_a: \ \mu \neq \mu_0 \\ \text{Test statistic } U = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{s_x^2/n}} \\ \text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } U < -t_{\alpha/2}(n-1) \text{ or } U > t_{\alpha/2}(n-1) \end{array}$$

is α -level UMP.